

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 SWITCH, LTD.,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 GEI CONSULTANTS,

8 Defendant.  
9  
10

Case No. 2:18-cv-00843-APG-CWH

**ORDER DEEMING ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE SATISFIED**

11 This action originally was filed in Nevada state court and defendant GEI Consultants  
12 removed it to this Court on the basis of diversity jurisdiction. Neither the complaint nor the  
13 notice of removal identifies the citizenship of the members of plaintiff Switch, Ltd., which is a  
14 Nevada limited liability company. ECF No. 3-1 at 3, ¶ 4. I therefore directed defendant GEI  
15 Consultants to show cause why the case should not be remanded for lack of subject matter  
16 jurisdiction. In light of GEI's response (ECF No. 14), the order to show cause is deemed  
17 satisfied. However, as the party seeking to invoke this court's jurisdiction, GEI remains  
18 responsible for establishing jurisdiction exists. *See Naffe v. Frey*, 789 F.3d 1030, 1040 (9th Cir.  
19 2015).

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the order to show cause (ECF No. 10) is deemed  
21 satisfied and I will not remand this action at this time.

22 DATED THIS 23rd day of May, 2018.

23   
24 ANDREW P. GORDON  
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
26  
27  
28